General Disclaimer

One or more of the Following Statements may affect this Document

- This document has been reproduced from the best copy furnished by the organizational source. It is being released in the interest of making available as much information as possible.
- This document may contain data, which exceeds the sheet parameters. It was furnished in this condition by the organizational source and is the best copy available.
- This document may contain tone-on-tone or color graphs, charts and/or pictures, which have been reproduced in black and white.
- This document is paginated as submitted by the original source.
- Portions of this document are not fully legible due to the historical nature of some
 of the material. However, it is the best reproduction available from the original
 submission.

Produced by the NASA Center for Aerospace Information (CASI)

SEMIANNUAL REPORT

NASA GRANT NSG. 8036

BIO-GAS PRODUCTION FROM ALLIGATOR WEEDS

Initiation date: June 1, 1976

Principal Investigator: Dr. Abdul Latif

Research Assistants:

Mr. Daniel Malone Mr. Mohammed Rizvi

Techincal Advisor:

Mr. William Wolverton NASA N.S.T.L. Bay St. Louis, Mississippi

Participating Institution:

Department of Biological Sciences Alcorn State University Lorman, Mississippi

(NASA-CR-1498C9) BIO-GAS PROLUCTION FROM ALLIGATOR WEELS Semiannual Report (Alccrn State Univ., Icrman, Niss.) 11 p HC A02/MF A01 CSCL 06C N77-19729

Unclas G3/51 21957



Bio-gas Production From Alligator Weeds

Laboratory experiments were conducted to study the effect of temperature, sample preparation, reducing agents, light intensity and pli of the media, on bio-gas and methans production from the microbial anaerobic decomposition of alligator weed; (Alternanthera philoxeroides [Mart.] Griesb.). Efforts were also made for the isolation and characterization of the methanogenic bacteria, responsible for methane production. Briefly, methodology and results are presented as follows:

Materials and Methods

Alligator weeds were collected from a water cooling pond located at Crosby Chemical Company, Picayune, Mississippi. Harvesting was done manually by rakes and the plants were transported to the Alcorn State University Campus in plastic bags. Several fermentation units were constructed to conduct experiments to evaluate the effect of temperature, sample preparation, light intensity, reducing agents, and pH of the media, on the production of bio-gas and methane from the microbial anaerobic decomposition of alligator weeds.

A fermentation unit was constructed as follows: A large Coke bottle (970 ml) was sealed to the atmosphere with two hole rubber stopper. One outlet was fitted with a rubber septum for gas chromatographic sampling, and the other outlet was connected with plastic tubing to a sealed container filled with water acidified with sulfuric acid. The displacement of water in the second container by bio-gas produced in the fermentation bottle provided a convenient method of measuring the volume of bio-gas produced. Samples for gas chromatographic analysis were taken through the rubber septum. Perkins Elmer 811 Gas Chromatographs was used to estimate the methane content of the bio-gas.

Alligator weeds were chopped into approximately one inch long pieces and placed in fermentation bottles. Each fermentation bottle had 250 gram(wet weight) chopped plants, 20 ml of fresh rumen content from the cow's stomach and 250 ml of water. The purpose of adding inoculum from the rumen content was to initiate an aerobic decomposition-process and supply methanogenic bacterial populations. Since absolute anaerobic conditions are necessary for the growth of methanogenic bacteria, all units, once sealed, were not disturbed. A sealant was used to insure air-tightness around the tubes and rubber stopper. There were five treatments. Each treatment was replicated four times. The detail of various treatments is given below.

Treatment No. 1: Temperature

Four fermentation units were placed in an incubator maintained at 35°C and four other units were incubated at room temperature (24°C \pm 3°C).

Treatment No. 2: Sample Preparation

In one experiment plants were chopped into approximately one-inch long pieces. In the second, plants were blended into a slurry form and in the third experiment, the plants were first boiled in water for one hour and then blended into a slurry form.

Treatment No. 3: Light Intensity.

In one experiment, four fermentation bottles were placed under bright light produced by fluorescent tubes (400 W). In the second, fermentation bottles were covered by aluminum foil to prevent exposure to light. In the third experiment, the fermentation bottles were left in the laboratory under ordinary light.

Treatment No. 4: Reducing Agents

Cysteine sulfide and cysteine hydrochloride were used as reducing agents. Cysteine sulfide was added to four fermentation bottles at the rate of 2 ml per 100 ml media whereas cysteine hydrochloride was added to the other four bottles at the same rate. The concentration of reducing agents was 2.5%. A control without the addition of reducing agents was also maintained.

Treatment No. 5: Effect of pH

The effect of pH was determined by adjusting the initial pH of liquid content in each fermentation bottle to 7, 8, and 9 respectively by the addition of sodium hydroxide. A control was maintained which had a pH of 6.6 .

All the experiments were conducted at room temperature (24°C ± 3°C) with the exception of experiments of treatment number 1, in which the incubation temperature for one experiment was 35°C. In all the experiments plants were chopped into approximately one-inch long pieces with the exception of treatment number 2, where plants were blended into a slurry form with and without boiling the plants. Each fermentation bottle had 250 gram (wet weight) alligator weeds, 20 ml of fresh rumen content and 250 ml water.

Isolation and Characterization of Methanogenic Bacteria

Samples for bacterial isolation and characterization were taken from those fermentation units which had produced the highest amount of bio-gas and methane during anaerobic decomposition of alligator weeds. These units were those which were incubated at 35°C and those in which the initial pH of the liquid media was adjusted to 9. During the process of isolating pure cultures from these anaerobic microorganisms, strict anaerobic conditions were maintained as described by Bryant et al. The process of isolation and characterization is still in progress. The result of this study will be reported in the annual report which will be submitted in May 1977.

Results:

The data from the various experiments which were conducted to study the effect of temperature, sample preparation, reducing agents, light intensity and pH of the media., on bio-gas and methane production from the microbial anaerobic decomposition of alligator weeds, are presented in Tables 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 respectively.

Temperature

as on the percentage of methane in the bio-gas was very prominent (Table 1).

Increasing incubation temperature of the fermentation units from 24°C ± 3°C to 35°C increased not only the rate of bio-gas production but also the methane percentage in the bio-gas. The methane content of total bio-gas was increased from 58.1% to 66.0% by increasing the incubation temperature to 35°C. Further more higher temperature also decreased the lag time between the production of bio-gas and production of methane gas.

Sample Preparation

Data presented in Table 2, revealed that sample preparation, (alligator weeds chopped, blended, and boiled and blended) had no effect on the total biogas production. However the methane production from samples which were boiled and blended, was reduced to a great extent (47.7%) when compared with chopped or blended samples (58.1% and 62.1%).

Light Intensity

Comparison of the data from experiments in which fermentation units were exposed to different light intensity, in Table 3 revealed that total bio-gas produced under bright light was slightly higher when compared with ordinary light or dark conditions. On the other hand, methane content of the bio-gas

was more (66.61) when bio-gas was produced under darkness.
Reducing Agents

According to the data presented in Table 4, addition of cysteine sulfide and cysteine hydrochloride to the fermentation units had slightly increased both, the bio-gas production and percentage of methane in the bio-gas.

Cysteine sulfide was slightly more effect than cysteine hydrochloride.

Effect of pH

Data from the experiments in which pH of the liquid media in each fermentation unit was adjusted before anaerobic microbial decomposition of the alligator weeds, are presented in Table 5. The data showed that increasing pH of the media from 6.6 (control) to 7.0 had a slight decrease in bio-gas production as well as in the methane content of the bio-gas produced. However when the pH of the media was increased to 8.0, there was a little effect on bio-gas production but methane content of the bio-gas produced was increased up to 64.0%. By further increase in the pH of the media to 9.0, there was a significant increase in the bio-gas production as well as in the methane content of the bio-gas produced.

Table 1: Bio-gas and Methane Production From the Anaerobic Decomposition of Alligator Weeds at Various Temperatures

Incubati	on	Tem	perat	ture
THE RESERVE THE REPORT OF THE				

	2400	7 7 3°C	35°C		
No. Days Elapsed	Cum. Bio-gas (ml)	Cum. Methane (ml)	Cum. Bio-gas (ml)	Cum. Methane (ml)	
5	0	0	20	9	
12	45	0	298	168	
20	353	15	1180	613	
42	940	503	1495	997	
60	1351	788	1900	1248	
81	2075	1363	2750	1768	
102	2726	1584	3195	2110	
Calculated Data:					
% Methane in Total Bio-gas	58.1		66.0		
ml Bio-gas Per Gram Wet Weight	10.9		12.8		
ml Methane Per Gram Wet Weight	6.3		8.4		

Table 2: Effect of Sample Preparation on Bio-gas and Methane Production From the Anaerobic Decomposition of Alligator Weeds

	Chopped		Blended		Boiled & Blended	
No. Days Elapsed	Cum. Bio-gas (ml)	Cum. Methane (ml)	Cum. Bio-gas (ml)	Cum. Methane (ml)	Cum. Bio-gas (m1)	Cum. Methane (ml)
5	0	0	0	0	0	0
12	45	0	27	0	59	0
20	353	15	307	39	429	78
42	940	503	885	426	1141	478
60	1351	788	1615	896	1739	750
81	2075	1363	2015	1196	2344	1064
102	2726	1584	2603	1616	2654	1266
Calculated Data:						
% Methane in Total Bio-gas	58.1		62.1		47.7	
ml Bio-gas Per Gram Wet Weight	10.9		10.4		10.6	
ml Methane Per Gram Wet Weight	6.3		6.5		5.1	

Table 3. Bio-gas and Methane Production Form the Anaerobic Decomposition of Alligator Weeds Under Different Light Intensities

	Bright Light		Ordinar	Ordinary Lab Light		Dark	
No. Days Elapsed	Cum. Bio-gas (ml)	Cum. Methane (ml)	Cum. Bio-gas (ml)	Cum. Methane (ml)	Cum. Bio-gas (ml)	Cum. Methane (ml)	
5	0	0	0	0	0	0	
12	39	0	45	0	27	0	
20	330	17	353	15	357	24	
42	968	467	940	503	1067	529	
60	1390	789	1351	788	1377	794	
81	23.55	1287	2075	1363	2256	1378	
102	2840	1797	2726	1584	2678	1784	
Calculated Data:							
% Methane in Total Bio-gas	63.3		58.1		66.6		
ml Bio-gas Per Gram Wet Weight	11.4		10.9		10.7		
ml Methane Per Gram Wet Weight	7.2		6.3		7.1		

Table 4: Effect of Reducing Agents on Bio-gas and Methane Production From the Anaerobic Decomposition of Alligator Weeds

	Cysteine Sulfide		Cysteine H	ydrochloride	Control	
No. Days Elapsed	Cum. Bio-gas (m1)	Cum. Methane (ml)	Cum. Bio-gas (ml)	Cum. Methane (m1)	Cum. Bio-gas (ml)	Cum. Methane (m1)
5	0	0	0	0	0	0
12	70	24	50	17	45	0
20	584	364	470	307	353	15
42	1315	874	1145	729	940	503
60	1995	1264	1750	1124	1351	788
81	2595	1614	2420	1576	2075	1363
102	2945	1804	2870	1706	2726	1.584
Calculated Data:						
% Methane in Total Bio-gas ml Bio-gas	61.3		59.4		58.1	
Per Gram Wet Weight	11.8		11.5		10.9	
ml Methane Per Gram Wet Weight	7.2		6.8		6.3	

Table 5: Ric-gas and Methane Production From the Anaerobic Decomposition of Alligator Weeds at Various pH

	Cont	rol(pH 6.6)	ı	pH_7		8 Hq		H 9
No. Days Elapsed	Cum. Bio-gas (ml)	Cum. Methane (ml)	Cum. Bio-gas (ml)	Num. Nethane	Cum. Bio-gas (m1)	Cum. Methane (m1)	Cum. Bio-gas (ml)	Cum. Methane (ml)
5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12	45	0	1+14	0	35	10	80	37
20	353	15	350	25	425	230	634	447
42	940	503	972	443	1133	730	1245	852
60	1351	788	1300	715	1242	805	1790	1385
81	2075	1363	1800	1065	1660	1107	2490	1822
102	2726	1584	2554	1475	2535	1622	2888	1964
Calculated Da	ita:							
% Methane in Total Bio-gas	58.1		57.8		64.0		68.0	
ml Bio-gas Per Gram Wet Weight	10.9		10.2		10.1		11.5	
ml Methane Per Gram Wet Weight	6.3		5.9		6.5		7.8	